

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/879,359

Applicant(s)

SEETOH ET AL.

Examiner

William J. Klimowicz

Art Unit

2652

All Participants:(1) William J. Klimowicz.(2) Homer L. Knearl.**Status of Application:** Non-Final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 28 April 2004**Time:** 2:00PM ET**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

Claim 15

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 15 was agreed to be amended in order to clarify that the arm circuit alignment pins are located at one end of the actuator arm, such that the reference to Komai (JP 2-166678 A) is obviated since it fails to show such a location of the arm circuit alignment pin, as set forth in amended claim 15, as argued by the Applicants in Paper No. 11 (filed March 11, 2004).